

## EPA Official Record

---

**Notes ID:** 6B96E7676F9648EE8525786900546438

**From:** Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US

**To:** "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>

**Delivered Date:** 01/05/2010 01:55 PM EDT

**Subject:** Re: FW: LHCC Air Modeling Report

Bob - I think it would only help to have another set of eyes review this, so yes. I had assumed that USACE reviews all its contractor products or else I would have asked you specifically.

▼ "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>

<b>"Leitch, Robert A NAE"</b> <b>&lt;Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil&gt;</b> 01/05/2010 01:52 PM		
	To	Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
	cc	
	Subject	FW: LHCC Air Modeling Report

Dave - Do you want a USACE review of the report?

-----Original Message-----

From: Fox, Steve (New Bedford) [mailto:Steve.Fox@jacobs.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2010 12:03 PM

To: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov

Cc: Otis, Mark J NAE; Iorio, Maryellen NAE; Beaudoin, Maurice NAE; L'Heureux, Paul G NAE; Leitch, Robert A NAE

Subject: RE: LHCC Air Modeling Report

Hi Dave,

I don't think a conference call is necessary. The additional modeling runs should be fairly straight forward but we will give you a call if we need to run any assumptions by you.

Thanks,

Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2010 11:23 AM  
To: Fox, Steve (New Bedford)  
Cc: Mark.J.Otis@usace.army.mil; Maryellen.Iorio@usace.army.mil;  
maurice.beaudoin@usace.army.mil; paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil;  
Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil  
Subject: RE: LHCC Air Modeling Report

excellent - thanks Steve. Do we need to have a conf. call or anything with the modeler??

"Fox, Steve  
\(New Bedford\)"  
<Steve.Fox@jacob To  
s.com> Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc  
01/05/2010 10:19 <Mark.J.Otis@usace.army.mil>,  
AM <maurice.beaudoin@usace.army.mil>  
,  
<Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>,  
<paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil>  
,  
<Maryellen.Iorio@usace.army.mil>  
Subject  
RE: LHCC Air Modeling Report

Hi Dave,

Happy New Year to you as well. Please find attached the word version of the LHCC Air Modeling Report for your red-line strike comments.

I also just talked to our modeler. He said that it will be no problem in terms of modeling the mechanical off loading of the material. He will also be able to meet the end of February deadline for both the modeling and finalization of the report.

Thanks,

Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov

[mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2010 9:41 AM

To: Fox, Steve (New Bedford)

Cc: Mark.J.Otis@usace.army.mil; maurice.beaudoin@usace.army.mil;

Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil; paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil;

Maryellen.Iorio@usace.army.mil

Subject: LHCC Air Modeling Report

Hi Steve - happy new year. I've completed my review of the air modeling report and was hoping you could forward me the Word file to streamline the comment process.

Also, it appears that only split hull scows were evaluated in this modeling. We need to add an evaluation of bucket unloading as well, as this could potentially have greater impacts on air quality (provided this can be completed by, say, end of February).

Thanks - Dave

(See attached file: NBH-2009-CAD-air-modeling-report-Rev 08-DD-cmts.doc)